Interventional Cardiology Drug-Eluting Stent Evolution Dedication for Advancement

David E. Kandzari, MD, FACC, FSCAI

Chief Scientific Officer Director, Interventional Cardiology

Piedmont Heart Institute Atlanta, Georgia david.kandzari@piedmont.org



Disclosure

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below

Affiliation/Financial Relationship	Company			
Institutional Grant/Research Support	Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Medtronic CardioVascular, Medinol, Orbus Neich			
Consulting Fees/Honoraria	Biotronik, Boston Scientific Corporation, Medtronic CardioVascular, Cardinal Health			
Major Stock Shareholder/Equity	None			
Royalty Income	None			
Ownership/Founder	None			
Intellectual Property Rights	None			
Other Financial Benefit	None			



Evolution of Interventional Cardiology Anything But Chance



First Palmaz-Schatz Stent in Human December 31, 1987



Evolution of Interventional Cardiology Anything But Chance



December 1999, First in Human Cypher Sirolimus Eluting Stent







What Do We Know About DES in 2018?

- Profound, durable reduction in need for repeat revascularization
- From RCTs, possibly lower MI, TLR and ST compared with BMS and BVS
- Lifelong, perhaps even 1 year, commitment to DAPT unfounded
- 'Off Label' does not mean 'Unstudied'
- Emerging differences in efficacy and safety endpoints between DES, no 'class effect'
- The story of safety and efficacy with DES does not stop at the primary endpoint



SCAAR Registry Definite ST for Contemporary DES





EluNIR[™] Stent System



Medinol Ltd., Tel Aviv, Israel



EluNIR[™] Stent System



Ultra-Narrow and Narrow Width Struts



Width



EluNIR[™] System Pharmacokinetics





BIONICS Trial Design





- 12-month target lesion failure (TLF), composite of cardiac death, target vessel MI and ischemia driven TLR Secondary Endpoints:
- 12-month MACE, TVF and individual component endpoints
- Definite/probable stent thrombosis
- Procedural success



BIONICS TLF to 24 Months





Orsiro Ultrathin Strut (BP SES) Stent System

Stent material	L-605 Cobalt-Chromium
Strut thickness	60 µm*
Polymer material	Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA)
Polymer type	Bioresorbable, asymmetric circumferential thickness; scission begins immediately with 24 month complete degradation
Passive coating	Amorphous silicon carbide
Antiproliferative drug	Sirolimus (1.4 μg/mm ²), >80% eluted in first 90 days

*For 2.25mm to 3.0mm diameter stents, 80 μ m for >3.0 mm diameter stents





BIOFLOW V Primary Endpoint: 12 Month Target Lesion Failure





BIOFLOW V 12 Month Target Vessel-Related Myocardial Infarction





BIOFLOW V Pooled Bayesian Analysis: BIOFLOW V, II and IV Trials

	Orsiro BP SES (n=1466)	Xience DP EES (n=742)	Rate difference	Posterior probability	
Target lesion failure (Bayesian analysis)				Noninferiority margin 3.85%	Superiority (post-hoc)
12-Month Rate, posterior mean ± estimate of SD (%), 95% Credible Interval	6.3 ± 0.8 (4.9, 7.9)	8.9 ± 1.2 (6.7 <i>,</i> 11.4)	-2.6 (-5.5 <i>,</i> 0.1)	100.0%	96.9%

Kandzari et al. Lancet 2017



Revisiting the Thin Strut Hypothesis (or Principle)

- Thinner stent struts produce less inflammation, vessel injury, neointimal proliferation and thrombus formation compared with thicker struts¹
- Over 15 years of DES iteration, progression to thinner struts is associated with lower rates of target vessel MI
 - Stainless steel (132 μm to 140 μm) to chromium alloys (81 μm to 91 μm) translate to ~40% to ~80% reductions in both procedural and late-term target vessel Ml^2
- In BIOFLOW V, an ~20 μm difference between BP SES and DP EES is associated with 40% reduction in TV MI

¹Kolandaivelu. Cirulation 2011; Soucy. EuroIntervention 2010; Kastrati. Circulation 2001; Pache. JACC 2003 ²ENDEAVOR III; SPIRIT III; ENDEAVOR IV; ENDEAVOR Pooled Analysis; SPIRIT IV



Ultra-thin (<70 μm) vs Thicker Strut 2nd Generation DES: 1-yr TLF 10 RCTs, 11,658 pts: Orsiro (60 μm), MiStent (64 μm), BioMime (65 μm)

	Z ^{ind} Gene	ration			% Weight
N	Events	N		RR (95% CI)	(D+L)
			H		
298	12	154		0.82 (0.40, 1.69)	4.83
354	9	176		1.10 (0.50, 2.43)	4.08
884	41	450		0.65 (0.43, 0.97)	15.07
1169	53	1173		0.89 (0.60, 1.32)	16.37
1063	70	1056		0.98 (0.70, 1.37)	22.84
250	4	122		0.73 (0.21, 2.59)	1.58
165	8	165		0.75 (0.26, 2.16)	2.25
1261	58	1264		0.83 (0.57, 1.22)	17.20
, <i>p=</i> 0.86	ST)		X	0.65(0.71, 1.01)	04.29
			The second se	0.05 (0.71, 1.01)	
700	45	005		0.00 (0.57.4.05)	10.00
703	45	695		0.88 (0.57, 1.35)	13.92
b = NA)				0.88(0.57, 1.35)	13.92
				0.66 (0.57, 1.35)	
170	6	86		0 42 (0 13 1 38)	1 79
h = NA	v	00		0.42 (0.13, 1.38)	1 79
				0.42 (0.13, 1.38)	
				(0.1.0, 1.00)	
181			*	0.94 (0.72 0.00)	100.00
,0,			X	0.84 (0.72, 0.99)	100.00
			Y	0.04 (0.72, 0.33)	
ll wit	n no		0.1 1	10	
r ID-T	'LR	Favors	Ultra-thin Favors	2 nd Generation	
	N 298 354 884 1169 1063 250 165 1261 , p=0.88 703 p = NA) 170 p = NA) 38) 11 with r ID-T	N Events 298 12 354 9 884 41 1169 53 1063 70 250 4 165 8 1261 58 $p=0.881$) 45 $p=0.881$) 6 170 6 $p=NA$) 6 88) 11 with no 10-TLR 10-TLR	N Events N 298 12 154 354 9 176 884 41 450 1169 53 1173 1063 70 1056 250 4 122 165 8 165 2161 58 1264 $p=0.881$) 58 1264 $p=0.881$) 695 695 $p=NA$) 6 86 $p=NA$) 6 86 170 6 86 S8) 11 with no 11 with no NA Favors 54	N Events N 298 12 154 354 9 176 884 41 450 1169 53 1173 1063 70 1056 250 4 122 165 8 165 1261 58 1264 $p = 0.881$) 703 45 $p = NA$) 6 86 $p = NA$) 0.1 1 $p = NA$) 0.1 1 $p = NA$ 0.1 1<	NEventsNRR (95% Cl) 298 121540.82 (0.40, 1.69) 354 91761.10 (0.50, 2.43) 884 414500.65 (0.43, 0.97) 1169 5311730.89 (0.60, 1.32) 1063 7010560.98 (0.70, 1.37) 250 41220.73 (0.21, 2.59) 165 81650.83 (0.57, 1.22) 1261 5812640.83 (0.57, 1.22) $0, p=0.881$)0.85 (0.71, 1.01)0.85 (0.71, 1.01) 703 456950.88 (0.57, 1.35) $0 = NA$)0.42 (0.13, 1.38)0.42 (0.13, 1.38) $0 = NA$)0.1110ride860.42 (0.13, 1.38) 0.81 0.1110rideride10rideFavors Ultra-thinFavors 2nd Generation

Bangalore et al. Submitted

Ultra-thin (<70 μm) vs Thicker Strut 2nd Generation DES: 1-yr Def/Prob Stent Thrombosis 10 RCTs, 11,658 pts: Orsiro (60 μm), MiStent (64 μm), BioMime (65 μm)

1	2 nd Gener	ation			% Weight
N	Events	N		RR (95% CI)	(D+L)
			11		
298	0	154		0.52 (0.01, 26.04)	0.78
354	0	176		3 .48(0.18, 67.38)	1.37
884	3	450		0.68 (0.15, 3.03)	5.36
169	6	1173		0.84 (0.26, 2.74)	8.53
063	35	1056	and the second	0.82 (0.50, 1.35)	49.59
250	0	122		0.49 (0.01, 24.59)	0.78
165	2	165		0.50 (0.05, 5.51)	2.08
261	20	1264		0.55 (0.26, 1.15)	22.19
=0.95	6)			0.74 (0.51, 1.07)	90.69
			•	0.74 (0.51, 1.07)	
703	6	695		0.82 (0.25, 2.70)	8.53
NA)				0.82 (0.25, 2.70)	8.53
				0.82 (0.25, 2.70)	
170	0	86		0 51 (0 01 25 49)	1 79
NA)		00		0.51 (0.01 25.49)	1 79
				0.51 (0.01 25 49)	
				0.01 (0.01) 20110)	
				0.74 (0.52, 4.05)	100.00
			X	0.74 (0.53, 1.05)	100.00
			Y	0.74 (0.55, 1.05)	
			.1 1 10		
		Favors	s Ultra-thin Favors	2 nd Generation	
	N 298 354 384 169 063 250 165 261 =0.95 703 NA)	2nd Gener N Events 298 0 354 0 344 3 169 6 063 35 250 0 65 2 261 20 =0.956) 6 170 0 NA) 0	2 nd Generation N Events N 298 0 154 54 0 176 84 3 450 169 6 1173 063 35 1056 250 0 122 65 2 165 261 20 1264 =0.956) 6 695 703 6 695 NA) 0 86 NA) 0 86 NA) 5 5 70 0 86 NA) 5 5	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2nd Generation RR (95% Cl) 1 154 0 154 1 0 176 3.48(0.18, 67.38) 1 0.68 0.15, 3.03) 0.68 (0.15, 3.03) 1 0.63 35 1056 1 10 0.52 (0.01, 26.04) 0.68 (0.15, 3.03) 0.63 35 1056 0.68 (0.15, 3.03) 1 0.63 173 0.84 (0.26, 2.74) 0.65 2 165 0.82 (0.50, 1.35) 265 165 0.122 0.50 (0.05, 5.51) 261 20 1264 0.55 (0.26, 1.15) 0.956) 0.82 (0.25, 2.70) 0.82 (0.25, 2.70) 703 6 695 0.82 (0.25, 2.70) NA) 0.86 0.51 (0.01, 25.49) NA) 0.86 0.51 (0.01, 25.49) NA) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.53, 1.05) 0.74 (0.

Bangalore et al. Submitted

SLENDER Integrated Delivery System (IDS) Designed to Facilitate TRI, Direct Stenting

Drug-Eluting Coronary Stent-on-a-Wire Integrated Delivery System (IDS)

- Lowest profile DES system available, downsizes sheaths and catheters (0.047" ID* compatible)
 - Reduces the procedural steps, time and cost of PCI



*5F diagnostic catheter

OPTIMIZE International IDE



PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR Dean Kereiakes, MD, USA Sunil Rao, MD, USA







CAUTION- Investigational Device. Not available for sale in the USA. SLENDER IDS is CE approved. *** Co** warnings and instructions for use can be found in the product labeling supplied with each device.

* randomization stratified by direct stent intent

BuMA Supreme DES Components

- Bare metal stent
 - A thin (80 µm) CoCr stent designed for deliverability and durability
- Base layer
 - A thin (100-200 nm) permanent poly nbutyl methacrylate (PBMA) coating electro-grafted (eG) onto CoCr stent to improve adhesion of the top coat
- Top coating
 - A poly lactic -co-glycolic acid (PLGA) biodegradable coating containing sirolimus (~1.2 µg/mm²). The PLGA is absorbed in ~6 weeks with drug measurable in the artery for 150 days.







PIONEER III TRIAL DESIGN (IDE)

Patients with \leq 3 native coronary artery lesions \leq 2 major coronary arteries in RVD of \geq 2.25mm to \leq 4.00mm, lesion length ≤33mm, %DS ≥50<100. (Chronic stable/unstable angina or NSTEMI) **Randomized cohort (RCT)** 1632 patients 2:1 Randomized Up to 110 global sites (North America, EU, Japan) **Everolimus eluting stents** (durable polymer) **BuMA Supreme XIENCE family / Promus** N= 1088 **Element family** N= 544

Non-inferiority trial, global multi-center, Pivotal, single blind Primary endpoint: 1 year TLF (CD, TV-MI, or TLR) at 12 month Follow-up through 5 years DAPT (ASA + clopidogrel, ticlopidine, prasugrel, ticagrelor) ≥ 6 months or longer as tolerated



Crystalline Sirolimus with a Rapidly Absorbed Polymer Coating

MiStent Crystalline Sirolimus

- Unique to MiStent SES
- Micro-crystalline morphology
- Controlled and prolonged elution, as opposed to use of an amorphous, rapid-release form of the drug



MiStent Rapidly Absorbable Polymer

- Flows off the stent struts in 45 60 days
- Rapidly absorbed from tissue within 90 days
- Quickly eliminates source of inflammatory response



MiStent Thin-Strut Stent

- Cobalt-chromium
- Highly deliverable (64 microns)



Bioresorbable Polymer DES

Differential Temporal Drug Delivery and Polymer Dissolution





CRYSTAL International IDE

Co-PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Dean Kereiakes, MD, Cincinnati, OH (USA) David Kandzari, MD, Atlanta, GA (USA) Core Lab Alexandra Lansky, MD, New Haven, CT (USA)





Evolution of Interventional Cardiology A Never Ending Work in Progress

- Evolution is inherent to interventional cardiology; an entrepreneurial spirit and passion for experimental research and evidence-based practice
- Until recently, the history and psychology of interventional cardiology has been consumed by anticipation of 'what's next' and that the assumption of 'what's next' is better
- We are realizing the best outcomes with PCI than ever before reported
- As newer DES are introduced, adoption will be driven more by intuition than scientific evidence as the opportunity to refine outcomes is increasingly difficult– our patients deserve more than intuition
- Opportunities remain to develop novel drug, polymer and stent delivery systems with selected attributes of each that confer incremental clinical and performance benefits above existing technologies— Need to level set expectations regarding when, where and how differences will be observed
- Introduction of new technologies enables us to address existing challenges, test strategy or a new advantage, and demonstrate value that informs dilemmas in existing practice





Scientists from the RAND Corporation have created this model to illustrate how a "home computer" could look like in the year 2004. However the needed technology will not be economically feasible for the average home. Also the scientists readily admit that the computer will require not yet invented technology to actually work, but 30 years from now scientific progress is expected to solve these problems. With teletype interface and the Fortran language, the computer will be easy to use.

Popular Mechanics, 1954

